#### NOTICE AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2003-10 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by counsel Marc E. Elias, Esq. on behalf of Rory Reid and the Nevada State Democratic Party. The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2003-10 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday June 12, 2003.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

- 1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.
- 2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EDT) on June 11, 2003.
- 3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case by case basis in special circumstances.
- 4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.

## **CONTACTS**

Press inquiries: Ron Harris (202) 694-1220

Acting Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copy of draft AO 2003-10 contact Public Records Office-Public Disclosure Division (202) 694-1120, or 800-424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedure contact Rosemary C. Smith, Acting Associate General Counsel, (202) 694-1650.

### <u>ADDRESSES</u>

Submit single copy of written comments to:

Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street NW Washington, DC 20463





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

2003 JUN -3 P 2: 29

June 3, 2003

# AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 06-12-03

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

The Commission

THROUGH:

James A. Pehrkop

Staff Director

FROM:

Lawrence H. Norton

General Counsel

James Kahl And Deputy General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith PC5
Acting Associate General Counsel

Mai Dinh

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Kevin Deeley

Staff Attorney

Subject:

Draft AO 2003-10

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for June 12, 2003.

Attachment

T	
2	
3	
4	
5 6	
7	CERTIFIED MAIL
8	RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
9	CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
0	ADVISORY OPINION 2003-10
1	· · ·
2	Mr. Marc E. Elias, Esquire
13	I'erkins Coie
4	t-07 Fourteenth Street, N.W.
15	Washington, D.C. 20005-2011
16	Dear Mr. Elias:
17 18	Dear MI. Ellas.
19	This responds to your letter dated March 31, 2003 requesting an advisory opinion, on
20	tehalf of Mr. Rory Reid and the Nevada State Democratic Party (collectively "the Requestors"),
21	concerning application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (the "Act"), as amended by
22	the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 ("BCRA"), and Commission regulations to certain
23	fundraising activities that Mr. Reid, Clark County, Nevada Commissioner, plans to undertake on
24	hehalf of the Nevada State Democratic Party ("State Party").
25	Background
26	According to your request, the State Party is a major political party in Nevada. Nev. Rev.
27	Stat. § 293.128 (2002). The State Party maintains both a Federal account registered with the
28	Commission, and a non-federal account registered with the Nevada Secretary of State. Under
29	Nevada law, the State Party may solicit and accept donations into its non-federal account that are
30	prohibited under the Act, including donations in unlimited amounts from individuals,

corporations and labor organizations. The State Party makes donations and disbursements from

31

its non-federal account to support state and local Democratic candidates in Nevada. You state

2 that Rory Reid is a former Chairman of the Nevada State Democratic Party.

3 You state that, on January 6, 2003, Rory Reid was sworn into office as Commissioner of

4 Clark County, Nevada. As Commissioner, he serves on the Nevada Development Authority, the

Metropolitan Police Committee on Fiscal Affairs, the Clark County District Board of Health, and

the Southern Nevada Water Authority.

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

Rory Reid also is the son of U.S. Senator Harry Reid, the senior U.S. Senator from

Nevada. Senator Reid was reelected to another six-year term to the U.S. Senate in the

November 1998 general election. In addition to being a current Federal officeholder, Senator

Reid is a candidate for re-election to the U.S. Senate from Nevada in the 2004 elections.

You state that the State Party plans to feature Commissioner Reid prominently when

raising non-federal funds. The State Party "will use Commissioner Reid as a draw for its non-

13 federal fundraising events, placing his name on its fundraising invitations and solicitations."

Commissioner Reid will "make personal appearances at [State] Party fundraisers and appear as a

featured guest or speaker whenever it would benefit the [State] Party for him to do so." "At the

party's direction," Commissioner Reid also will "make fundraising calls and personal

solicitations of contributors to raise money for the Party's non-federal account." You also

indicate that Commissioner Reic "will conduct these activities exclusively on behalf of

19 the [State] Party, and not on the authority of any other person, including Senator Reid."

Senator Reid filed a statement of candidacy in June 1999. "Friends For Harry Reid" is his principal campaign committee. According to its Statement of Organization, Friends For Harry Reid is affiliated with "Nevada Senate 2004," a joint fundraising committee with the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee.

## 1 Questions Presented:

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 3 ... May Commissioner Reid solicit non-federal funds for the Nevada State Democratic Party
- 4 even though he is the son of a Federal candidate and officeholder?
- 5 2. May Commissioner Reid solicit non-federal funds for the Nevada State Democratic Party
- 6 even if he had raised money on behalf of Senator Reid in the past?
- 7 3. Even if Senator Reid were to give Commissioner Reid actual authority to raise federally
- 8 permissible funds in certain circumstances or at certain events in connection with an election on
- 9 Senator Reid's behalf, may Commissioner Reid still raise non-federal funds on his own behalf
- 10 for the Nevada State Democratic Party in other circumstances?

### 11 Analysis and Conclusions

The Act, as amended by BCRA, prohibits Federal candidates and officeholders, or any agent of a candidate or an individual holding Federal office, from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for Federal election activity, unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions and reporting requirements of the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A). BCRA also prohibits Federal candidates, officeholders and their agents from the same activities in connection with an election other than an election for Federal office, and prohibits them from disbursing funds in connection with such an election, unless the funds do not exceed the limitations on contributions to candidates and political committees in section 441a(a) and do not come from prohibited sources under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B)(i)-(ii). See also 11 CFR

Senator Reid holds "Federal office" as defined in 2 U.S.C. 431(3) and 11 CFR 100.4. See also 11 CFR 300.2(o).

These prohibitions also apply to any "entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or controlled by or acting on behalf of [one] or more candidates or individuals holding Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1).

- 1 300.62 (2002). However, notwithstanding these restrictions, BCRA provides that Federal
- 2 candidates and officeholders "may attend, speak, or be a featured guest at a fundraising event for
- 3 a State, district or local committee of a political party" even when funds are raised outside the
- 4 source and amount limitations of the Act (hereinafter "non-federal funds"). 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(3).
- 5 See also Advisory Opinion 2003-03 (adopting a parallel interpretation for state and local
- 6 candidate fundraising events at which non-federal funds are raised, provided an appropriate
- 7 disclaimer is made that the Federal candidate or officeholder is only soliciting federally
- 8 permissible funds).
- 9 Several of the prohibitions and restrictions of the Act, as amended by BCRA, apply to a
- 10 "principal," such as a political party committee, candidate or officeholder, and to the "agents" of
- a principal where they act on behalf of the principal. See, e.g., 2 U.S.C. 441i(a)(1) and (2);
- 12 441i(b)(1); 441i(e)(1). Congress did not define the term "agent" in BCRA. 67 Fed. Reg. 49064,
- 13 49082 (July 29, 2002).
- The Commission promulgated regulations to define "agent" as used in Title I of BCRA.
- 15 The regulations define an "agent" of a Federal candidate or officeholder to mean "any person
- 16 who has actual authority, either express or implied," "to solicit, receive, direct, transfer or spend
- 17 funds in connection with any election." 11 CFR 300.2(b)(3). The accompanying Explanation
- and Justification emphasizes that "a principal cannot be held liable for the actions of an agent
- unless (1) the agent has actual authority, (2) the agent is acting on behalf of his or her principal,
- and (3) the agent is engaged in one of the specific activities described." 67 Fed. Reg. at 49,083.
- 21 The Commission made clear that under BCRA, the definition of agent "does not apply to
- 22 individuals who do not have any actual authority to act on their [principal's] behalf, but only
- 23 'apparent authority' to do so." Id. at 49,082.

22

23

1 The Commission also noted in the Explanation and Justification that "[i]t is necessary . . . 2 to define 'agent' to include implied and express authority in order to fully implement Title I 3 cf BCRA. Otherwise, agents with actual authority would be able to engage in activities that 4 would not be imputed to their principals so long as the principal was careful enough to confer authority through conduct or a mix of conduct and spoken works." Id. However, "a principal 5 6 may not be held liable, under an implied actual authority theory, unless the principal's own 7 conduct reasonably causes the agent to believe that he or she had authority . . . Once an agent 8 has actual authority, however, '[u]nless otherwise agreed, authority to conduct a transaction 9 includes authority to do acts which are incidental to it, usually accompany it, or are reasonably recessary to accomplish it." Id. at 49,083 (quoting Restatement (Second) of Agency, 35; other 10 11 citation omitted). 12 1. May Commissioner Reid solicit non-federal funds for the Nevada State 13 Democratic Party even though he is the son of a Federal candidate and officeholder? 14 Nothing in the FECA as amended by BCRA, or the Commission's regulations, bars 15 Commissioner Reid from soliciting non-federal funds solely by virtue of the fact that his father is 16 2 Federal candidate and officeholder. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that 17 Commissioner Reid is not an "agent" of Senator Reid solely because they are father and son. As 18 explained above, an agent of a Federal candidate or officeholder is someone who has actual 19 authority, either express or implied, "to solicit, receive, direct, transfer, or spend funds in 20 connection with any election." 11 CFR 300.2(b)(3). As the Explanation and Justification for the 21 Commission's definition of "agent" in 11 CFR 300.2(b) makes clear, the "definition of 'agent' . .

. does not apply to individuals who do not have actual authority to act on their behalf."

67 Fed. Reg. at 49082. It is not enough that there is some relationship or contact between the

- 1 principal and agent; rather, that agent must be acting on behalf of the principal to create potential
- 2 liability for the principal. Thus, the father-son relationship alone is insufficient to create an
- 3 agency relationship.
- 4 2. May Commissioner Reid solicit non-federal funds for the Nevada State
- 5 L'emocratic Party even if he had raised money on behalf of Senator Reid in the past?
- 6 You state that Commissioner Reid may have "carried out some fundraising activity for
- 7 Senator Reid in the past" and "raised money on Senator Reid's behalf." The State Party and
- 8 Commissioner Reid thus seek confirmation that Commissioner Reid would not be considered the
- 9 "agent" for Senator Reid within the meaning of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e) "even if Commissioner Reid
- 10 had carried out some fundraising activity for Senator Reid in the past."
- The Commission's definition of agent is limited to when a person is acting pursuant to
- "actual authority" from the Federal candidate or officeholder. 11 CFR 300.2(b). Assuming that
- 13 Commissioner Reid had raised money on Senator Reid's behalf and that there was a prior agency
- 14 relationship between Commissioner Reid and his father, this past agency relationship would not
- 15 by itself prohibit Commissioner Reid from raising non-federal funds for the State Party. If
- 16 Commissioner Reid does not have actual authority to act on behalf of Senator Reid when
- 17 soliciting non-federal funds for the State Party in the future, the Commission concludes that any
- 18 past actions in raising Federal or non-federal funds by Commissioner Reid on Senator Reid's
- 19 behalf would not, by themselves, bar Commissioner Reid from assisting the State Party in raising
- 20 non-federal funds in the future.
- 21 3. Even if Senator Reid were to give Commissioner Reid actual authority to raise
- 22 federally permissible funds in certain circumstances or at certain events in connection with an

- 1 election on Senator Reid's behalf, may Commissioner Reid still raise non-federal funds on his
- 2 own behalf for the Nevada State Democratic Party in other circumstances?
- 3 You suggest that Commissioner Reid might "carry out some fundraising activity on
- 4 behalf of Senator Reid in some circumstances in the future." You correctly acknowledge that
- 5 "[i]f Senator Reid were to grant Commissioner Reid actual authority to raise funds at a particular
- 6 event on his behalf, that authority would extend to all acts incidental to that fundraising activity,
- 7 or that usually accompany it or are reasonably necessary to accomplish it." You also state,
- 8 however, that "Commissioner Reid's agency relationship with the Senator would be limited to
- 9 those activities only." His efforts assisting the State Party will be "exclusively on behalf of the
- 10 Party, and not on the authority of any other person, including Senator Reid."
- The facts set forth in the Request describe two explicit agency relationships one
- 12 between the Senator and Commissioner Reid, and the other between the State Party and
- 13 Commissioner Reid. As discussed in the Explanation and Justification, the Commission's
- definition of "agent" contemplates a dual-agency situation.

Under the Commission's final rules defining 'agent,' a principal can only be held liable for the actions of an agent when the agent is acting on behalf of the principal, and not when the agent is acting on behalf of other organizations or individuals. Specifically, it is not enough that there is some relationship or contact between the principal and agent; rather, that agent must be acting on behalf of the principal to create potential liability for the principal. This additional requirement ensures that liability will not attach due solely to the agency relationship, but only to the agent's performance of the prohibited acts for the principal."

26 67 Fed. Reg. at 49,083.

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

28

As long as Commissioner Reid solicits non-federal funds in his own capacity as a state cifficial of Nevada and exclusively on behalf of the State Party, and not on the authority of any

- 1 Federal candidate or officeholder, including Senator Reid, the fundraising activities will not be
- 2 attributed to any Federal candidate or officeholder for purposes of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e). State party
- 3 officials who also serve on national party committees may "wear multiple hats" and "raise non-
- 4 Federal funds for their State party organizations without violating the prohibition against non-
- 5 Federal fundraising by national parties." 67 Fed. Reg. at 49,083. Similarly, Commissioner Reid,
- 6 as a prominent state official in Nevada, may at different times act in his capacity as an agent on
- 7 bεhalf of the State Party and act as an agent on behalf of Senator Reid. If his fundraising is
- 8 "exclusively on behalf of the Party" and not on the authority of Senator Reid, Commissioner
- 9 Reid may raise non-federal funds for the State Party.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

activity.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this opinion, then the Requestors may not rely on that conclusion as support for their proposed

The Commission notes that this advisory opinion analyzes the Act, as amended by the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 ("BCRA"), and Commission regulations, including those promulgated to implement the BCRA amendments, as they pertain to your proposed activities. On May 2, 2003, a three-judge panel of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia ruled that a number of BCRA provisions are unconstitutional and issued an order enjoining the enforcement, execution, or other application of those provisions. *McConnell v. FEC*, 251 F.Supp.2d 948 (D.D.C. May 2, 2003); *stay granted by* 2003 WL 21146609 (D.D.C. May 19, 2003); *appeal docketed*, No. 02-1674 (U.S. May 16, 2003). Subsequently, the District

Advisory Opinion 2003-10 Page 9 1 Court stayed its order and injunction. Id. The District Court ruling has been appealed to the 2 United States Supreme Court. Id. The Commission cautions that the legal analysis in this 3 advisory opinion may be affected by the eventual decision of the Supreme Court. 4 5 6 Sincerely, 7 8 9 Bradley A. Smith 10 Vice-Chairman 11 12 Enclosure (AO 2003-03)